

Published on Web 04/17/2004

Multistep Electron Transfer Processes on Dye Co-sensitized Nanocrystalline TiO₂ Films

John N. Clifford,[†] Emilio Palomares,[†] Md. K. Nazeeruddin,[‡] Ravindranathan Thampi,[‡] Michael Grätzel,[‡] and James R. Durrant^{*,†}

Centre for Electronic Materials and Devices, Chemistry Department, Imperial College, South Kensington, Exhibition Road, SW 7 2AY London, United Kingdom, and Laboratory for Photonics and Interfaces, Institute of Molecular and Biological Chemistry, School of Basic Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Received January 16, 2004; E-mail: j.durrant@imperial.ac.uk

Heterogeneous electron transfer systems based on the coupling of molecular dyes to inorganic nanoparticles are attracting extensive interest for technological applications ranging from electrochromic displays to photoelectrochemical energy conversion. Such electron transfer systems have to date largely been limited to the immobilization of a single molecular species to the nanoparticle surface. Several studies have addressed the interfacing supramolecular systems to nanoparticles and nanocrystalline electrodes ("heterosupramolecular chemistry") achieving for example, supersensitizer function, panchromatic sensitization, and light-driven redox switches.¹⁻⁵ Attempts to sensitize such electrodes with multiple dyes have achieved only limited success to date.⁶ A recent study has shown improved efficiencies by using dye multilayers.7 In this communication we report an alternative approach to achieving a molecular electron-transfer cascade at a dye/TiO2 interface, employing two spatially separated layers of distinct sensitizer dyes to achieve a vectorial movement of the oxidized dye species away from the TiO₂ surface.⁸ Crucially this electron transfer cascade is achieved with simple molecular dyes without requiring complicated synthetic procedures and should therefore be generically applicable to a wide range of molecular sensitizer dves.

Our novel co-sensitization strategy is based upon the deposition of a secondary metal oxide layer between the absorption of the first and the second sensitizer dyes, as illustrated in Scheme 1. This strategy is employed to achieve three key objectives: (i) to achieve an increased dye adsorption relative to that achievable by direct co-sensitization; (ii) to achieve an interfacial charge-transfer cascade on the TiO₂ nanoparticles. The two dyes are spatially and energetically organized so as to achieve hole transfer from the inner dye closer to the TiO₂ surface than to the outer dye, thereby increasing the distance between oxidized dye species and injected electrons and resulting in suppression of the charge recombination reaction; and (iii) to achieve an improved red sensitivity of the dyesensitized film.

Our study employs two sensitizer dyes $Ru(dcbpy)_2(CN)_2$ -($RuL_2(CN)_2$) and RuPc (chemical name: bis(3,4-dicarboxypyridine)-(1,4,6,11,15,18,22,25-octamethyl phthalocyanato)ruthenium(II). The secondary Al_2O_3 layer was deposited by immersion of a $RuL_2(CN)_2$ sensitized TiO₂ film in an anhydrous solution of aluminum isopropoxide at 25 °C. Full experimental details are given in the Supporting Information.

Figure 1 compares absorption spectra for 4 μ m mesoporous TiO₂ films sensitized by our novel co-sensitization strategy against control films employing conventional sensitization routes. As can be seen,





Figure 1. Absorption spectra of 4 μ m mesoporous sensitized TiO₂ films as follows: TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂, (--), TiO₂/RuPc (---), TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂:RuPc (---) and TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂/Al₂O₃/RuPc (----)

Scheme 1. Charge Transfer Processes in Multilayer Co-sensitized Nanocrystalline TiO_2 Films (S = RuL_2(CN)_2, S_2 = RuPc)



the multilayer co-sensitized approach results in the adsorption of near monolayer coverages of both dyes. The deposition of the secondary metal oxide layer was essential to achieve such high dye loading. Attempts to adsorb RuPc onto TiO₂ film already sensitized with a full monolayer of RuL₂(CN)₂ were unsuccessful, resulting in gradual RuL₂(CN)₂ desorption from the photoelectrode.

Transient absorption spectroscopy was employed to investigate the achievement of the desired electron-transfer cascade, employing low-intensity excitation conditions ($\sim 0.1\%$ dye molecules excited per pulse). We consider first a control RuL₂(CN)₂-sensitized TiO₂ film (Figure 2a) with and without the secondary metal oxide layer postdeposition; it is apparent that the postdeposition of the Al₂O₃ layer has no influence on either the electron injection yield or the charge recombination dynamics of this film. We thus deduce that the deposition of the Al₂O₃ layer does not disrupt the adsorption of the RuL₂(CN)₂ underlayer. We now turn to the second dye layer,



Figure 2. Transient absorption data monitoring dye cation absorption for (a) TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂ (black) and TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂/Al₂O₃ films (gray) (λ_{ex} = 490 and λ_{pr} = 800 nm) and (b) (i) TiO₂/RuPc and (ii) TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂/Al₂O₃/RuPc films (λ_{ex} = 670 nm and λ_{pr} = 725 nm).



Figure 3. Transient kinetics observed for a TiO₂/RuL₂(CN)₂/Al₂O₃/RuPc film ($\lambda_{ex} = 490 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{pr} = 650 \text{ nm}$), probing the grow in and decay of the RuPc bleach. Inset: Difference absorption spectra recorded at 100 μ s.

employing 670 nm excitation to ensure direct excitation of the RuPc alone, Figure 2b compares the transient kinetics in our novel TiO₂/ $RuL_2(CN)_2/Al_2O_3/RuPc$ system with those of the control film TiO₂/ RuPc. In this case, the results are similar to those we have previously reported for the insertion of Al₂O₃ barrier layers between the TiO_2 film and a sensitizer dye,^{9,10} namely that, for suitable barrier layer thicknesses, the barrier layer does not reduce the electron injection yield but results in a significant retardation of interfacial charge recombination dynamics. In this case, it is apparent that the charge recombination half time ($\tau_{50\%}$) for the RuPc cation is slow from 0.13 ms for the TiO₂/RuPc film to 5 ms for the multilayer film. No such retardation was observed for co-sensitized films in the absence of the Al₂O₃ layer. It can thus be concluded that our sensitization strategy indeed results in the desired spatial organization of the two sensitiser dyes, with RuL2(CN)2 adsorbed directly to the TiO2 surface and the RuPc localized further from the TiO₂.

We turn now to consideration of the hole transfer between the $RuL_2(CN)_2$ and RuPc dyes. Figure 3 shows transient absorption

data obtained for our TiO2/RuL2(CN)2/Al2O3/RuPc system following optical excitation of the RuL₂(CN)₂ dye at 490 nm. The main figure shows the transient kinetics observed at 650 nm, the maximum of the RuPc ground-state absorption bleach. The inset shows the transient spectrum observed 100 μ s after excitation. The RuPc ground-state bleach is clearly present in the co-sensitized film data, clearly demonstrating efficient hole transfer from the initially excited $RuL_2(CN)_2$ to RuPc, consistent with the difference in oxidation potentials for these dyes (Scheme 1). Simulation of this spectrum from those for the singly sensitized films indicates a hole transfer yield to the RuPc of >70% (see Supporting Information). The kinetic data indicate that this hole transfer is rapid, being over 50% complete within 500 ns (at the probe wavelength the $RuL_2(CN)_2$ cation yields a small positive signal, the opposite sign to the negative RuPc bleach signal). The subsequent signal recovery, assigned to charge recombination, exhibits a $\tau_{50\%}$ of 5 ms, in agreement is Figure 2b.

The transient absorption data reported here therefore confirm that the co-sensitization route we have employed here achieves the desired electron transfer cascade illustrated in Scheme 1. We note that our data do not indicate the detailed structure of the Al_2O_3 barrier layer. Most probably our deposition strategy results in Al_2O_3 deposition interspaced between the $RuL_2(CN)_2$ dyes, rather than as a continuous barrier layer, and may indeed not result in complete hydrolysis of the precursor to the Al_2O_3 oxide. Notwithstanding such uncertainties, it is apparent that this layer achieves the desired functionality.

The results we present here demonstrate the viability of a novel route to the co-sensitization of nanocrystalline TiO_2 films. Initial studies of the use of this route in dye-sensitized solar cells are already promising, indicating efficient current generation from both sensitizer dyes and achieving an order of magnitude improvement in photocurrent generation relative to direct co-sensitization without the Al_2O_3 layer (see Supporting Information). Further development of this strategy employing optimized blue and red absorbing sensitizer dyes therefore presents an attractive route to the panchromatic sensitization of dye-sensitized solar cells.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the EPRSC and EU (Contract Number: ENK6-CT-2001-00560 Nanomax and Marie Curie Fellowship Contract No. HPMF-CT-2002-01744 for E.P.) for their financial support. We gratefully acknowledge Johnsson Matthey Ltd. for RuPc supply.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Fitzmaurice, D. J. Mater. Chem. 1998, 10, 2157.
- (2) Anderson, N. A.; Hao, E.; Ai, X.; Hastings, G.; Lian, T. *Physica E* 2002, 14, 215–218.
- (3) Horoaki, H.; Katoh, R.; Hara, K.; Yanagida, M.; Murata, S.; Arakawa, H.; Tachiya, M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003, *107*, 2570–2574.
 (4) Ashbury, J. B.; Anderson, N. A.; Ai, X.; Hao, E.; Lian, T. *J. Phys. Chem.*
- (4) ASHDUY, J. B., Anderson, N. A., AL, A., AJ, A., Hao, E., Lian, I. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 7376.
 (5) Hirata, N.; Lagref, J.-J.; Palomares, E.; Durrant, J. R.; Nazeeruddin, M.
- (a) Infata, N., Lagiel, J.-J., Falonaes, L., Durlant, J. K., Vazeeludin, M. K., Gratzel, M.; Di Censo, D. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, *10*, 595.
 (6) Fazard, F.; Thompson, D. W.; Kelly, C. A.; Meyer, G. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, *121*, 5577–5578.
- Soc. 1999, 121, 5577-5578.
 (7) Perera, V. P. S.; Pitigala, P. K. D. D. P.; Jayaweera, P. V. V.; Bandaranayake, K. M. P.; Tennakone, K. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107,
- 13758–13761. (8) Abruna, H. D.; Denisevich, P.; Umana, M.; Meyer, G. J.; Murray, R. W.
- *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 1–5.
 (9) Palomares, E.; Clifford, J. N.; Haque, S. A.; Lutz, T.; Durrant, J. R. Chem. Commun. **2002**, 1464–1465.
- (10) Palomares, E.; Clifford, J. N.; Haque, S. A.; Lutz, T.; Durrant, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 475–482.

JA049705H